UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,877	05/18/2006	Thomas Dunker	DUNKER ET AL-2 PCT	3786
25889 COLLARD & I	7590 04/21/201 ROE, P.C.	0	EXAMINER	
1077 NORTHE	RN BOULEVARD		MERENE, JAN CHRISTOP L	
ROSLYN, NY 11576			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3733	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Evening unitiated Internation Comments	10/579,877	DUNKER ET AL.				
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAN CHRISTOPHER MERENE	3733				
All Participants:	Status of Application:	_				
(1) <u>JAN CHRISTOPHER MERENE</u> .	(3)					
(2) <u>Frederick Dorchak</u> .	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>15 April 2010</u>	Time: <u>4:45</u>					
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:						
Part I.						
Rejection(s) discussed:						
Claims discussed: 15, 11, 12 Prior art documents discussed: Baidwin US 5,238,003 Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:				
See Continuation Sheet						
Part III. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.						
/Jan Christopher Merene/ Examiner, Art Unit 3733 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)				

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted the applicant to bring in the limitations of claims 11-12 into the independent claim, with a recitatof the movement of the valve hose perpindicular to the longitudinal axis of the piston rod. The applicant contacted the examiner indicating that they are not in agreement with the proposed amendment	tion he